

223

224

1.	2.	3.
	B.F. 10.44	
76-78	18.00	1 Hiwar tree
76-78	22.92	-Nil-
13	13.64	-Nil-
14	00.17	2 Tamarind trees
14	00.47	-Nil-
12	16.21	-Nil-
11/1	8.14	3 Mango trees
11/2-G	4.02	-Nil-
11/2-Kh	19.90	-Nil-
Total	103.91	

6. (1), As regards point No.(ii), the Non-applicants have filed one sale deed, two lease deeds, and quoted one award to justify their demand for a total compensation of Rs.1.40,000/-. They have also adduced oral evidence of two witnesses including Non-applicant Narayan, about ~~xxxxxxx~~ richness of the soil and the annual produce in the fields. I will now deal with each one of these items of evidence. The sale of one field proved by N.A.W.2 is no doubt of 1953 i.e. the year of first notification but the field is of village Banadura and not of Tarodi-Khurd. Although, according to Non-applicant, the field is only four furlongs from the fields under acquisition, it cannot be considered for the simple reason that it is situated at different village. The two leases of the fields under acquisition proved by Non-applicant's witnesses cannot also not be considered because they pertain to the year of 1957 while the year of notification with reference to which the market value of the fields under acquisition is to be determined is 1953. So far as the quality of soil is concerned the assessment rate is the best evidence on the point and I will consider the same in succeeding paragraphs. So far as the produce is concerned, the Non-

Remach