(22) 28

	2.	3.	4.	5.
11/1	8.14	3 Mango trees	Balkrishna Wadguji	Rs. 1-4-0
11/2-G	4.02	-Nil-	-do-	Rs. 1-6-0
11/2-Kh	9.90	-Nil-	-do-	Rs. 1-9-0

3. The Non-applicant, have demanded seperate compensation for their respective shares. Their total claim is of Rs.1,40,000/- as against the Trust offer of Rs.30,690/- .The Non-applicants have examined two witnesses and filed some documents in support of their claim. The Trust has examined only one witness, viz. Shri R.V.Khedasgaonker, Asstt .Engr.

4. The points for consideration are:-

(i) The true area of the land under acquisition;

(ii) Compensation which should be paid for the land under acquisition.

(iii) The person or persons to whom ~~xxxxxxx~~ the compensation is payable and apportionment if any.

5. As regards point No.(i), there is no dispute about the measurement and the area furnished by the Trust. There is, however, some dispute about the number of trees.The Non-applicants claim that, over and above the number of trees mentioned by the Trust (as given in para 2 above), there are 22 Babul trees and 5 Nimb trees in Survey No.76-78, 30 Babul trees in Survey No.13, 25 Babul trees in Survey No.12. I inspected the spot on 24-11-1962 and found that all these trees are very small and have very poor fuel value. For the purposes of acquisition, therefore, they will not be valued and the owners will be at liberty to remove them at their own costs. My finding, therefore, is that the area and the assets of the lands under acquisition are as follows:-

Survey No.	Area in acres	Trees, etc. if any.
71	10.44	2 Mango trees 1 Kawath tree 1 Chichwa tree 2 Nimb tree and wire fencing