

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

Writ Petition No.2238 of 2019

Vijaykumar Singh and anr. ... Petitioners
V/s.
The State of Maharashtra and others ... Respondents.

Mr. Anand H. Singh for the petitioner.

Ms. Vaishali Ugale for respondents-BMC.

Mrs. Gauri Sawant, AGP for the State.

Advocate Hrushi Narvekar with Samit Shukla, Mr. Siddharth Shah,

Ms. Sayali Diwadkar i/b. Trilegal for respondent No.5.

Mr. Anoop Patil for respondent No.2-SRA.

Ms. Ayushi Anandpara a/w. Mr. Parth Jain i/b. JLP for respondent No.4.

**CORAM : M.S. KARNIK &
S.M. MODAK, JJ.**

DATE : 8th July 2026.

P.C. :

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners made a reasonable suggestion that as the scheme has proceeded, the petitioners may be permitted to withdraw the petition with liberty to approach the Mumbai Municipal Corporation for deciding the question of eligibility and other grievances. If such an application on the basis of

G.R.dated 16th May 2015 and 16th May 2018 which the learned counsel for the petitioners claims are applicable, is made within a period of one week to the competent authority of the Corporation, the Corporation to decide the representation expeditiously and in any case within a period of 8 weeks from the date of representation.

3. Needless to mention all concerned who will be affected by the order shall be heard by the competent authority.

4. The respondent No.5-Developer has already handed over the physical possession of the flats which are meant for eligible occupants to the SRA, the SRA obviously shall allot the same to the eligible occupants after the decision on the representation.

5. The Writ Petition is disposed of.

(S.M.MODAK,J.)

(M.S.KARNIK, J.)