STAMP ON APPLICATION RS. 5 // 10

COPY OF APPLICATION No. 2574 2025

15/9/25

The date on which the copy was applied
Two thousand Twenty Five

15/9/25

The date on which the application completed
Two thousand Twenty Five

16 SEP 2025

The date (When the date once given is subsequently charged, the last of such change dates) given to the Application for taking delivery of the copy
Two thousand Twenty Five

16 SEP 2025

16 SEP 2025

The date which the copy was ready for delivery
Two thousand Twenty Five

16 SEP 2025

Senior Clerk.
Civil Court S.D. Aurangabad.

16 SEP 2025

The date on which the copy was delivered.
Two thousand Twenty Five

16 SEP 2025

Senior clerk.
Civil Court S.D. Aurangabad.

Issue certified copy by C.J.S.D. Court Aurangabad.

On Exhibit No. 12 in RD No. 68/2016

Arjunrao v/s Prakash Pages 01

CIVIL COURT (Senior Divi

172
C

IN THE COURT OF CIVIL JUDGE JUNIOR DIVISION AT AURANGABAD

R.D. No. 68/2016

Avinash V/s Prakash

Claim : **EXECUTION OF DECREE**

PURSHIS ON BEHALF OF OBJECTION PETITIONER DR. MANJU PARSI JILLA

Hon'ble Sir,

It is submitted that, dispute in between objection petitioner and decree holder and Judgment debtor is settled. The decree holder has already withdrawn the suit against param developers /purchaser from O.P. They have no dispute regarding ownership and possession of objection petitioner over suit property. As the matter is settled, the objection petitioner does not want to proceed with the objection. Hence objection petition may kindly be dispose of in view of compromise in between the parties. Hence it is submitted for kind perusal of this Hon'ble court

Sec 4 filed

12/9/25
CJJD

Date: 12.09.2025

Place : Aurangabad

Objection Petitioner

Submitted by

ADV
P.N. SONPETHKAR
Advocate Aurangabad

Maayn-Pjilla
DR. MANJU PARSI JILLA
Dr Maayn Percy Jilla

CIVIL COURT (Senior Division) AURANGABAD

Compared by
Jr.Clerk 16.9.25

True Copy
16.9.25
Assistant Superintendent
Civil Court (S.D.)
Aurangabad

STAMP ON APPLICATION RS. 5 // 10

COPY OF APPLICATION No. 2148 2025

15-10-25 The date on which the copy was applied 15-10-25

Two thousand Twenty Five

The date on which the application completed

Two thousand Twenty Five

2 8 OCT 2025 The date (When the date once given is subsequently charged, the last of such change dates) given to the Application for taking delivery of the copy 2 8 OCT 2025

Two thousand Twenty Five

The date which the copy was ready for delivery

Two thousand Twenty Five

2 8 OCT 2025

Senior Clerk.

Civil Court S.D. Aurangabad. 2 8 OCT 2025

The date on which the copy was delivered.

Two thousand Twenty Five

2 8 OCT 2025

Senior clerk.

Civil Court S.D. Aurangabad.

Issue certified copy by C.J.S.D. Court Aurangabad. 2 8 OCT 2025

On Exhibit No. 01 in RCS No. 261/2023

Akruti consultancy v/s Param Developers Pages 16

VIL COURT (Senior

IN THE COURT OF HON'BLE CIVIL JUDGE S.D. AT AURANGABAD.

Exh 01

R.C.S. No. /2023

Plaintiffs: - Akruti Consultancy Services
Through its partners

1. Avinash s/o Ganpatrao Solapure
Age- Major, Occ. - Business,
R/o.122, Krishna Apartment,
Jyotinagar, Aurangabad.

2. Pravin s/o Bapurao Mhaske
Age- Major, Occ. - Agril and Business,
R/o. - Rama nagar, Aurangabad.

3. Rahul s/o Sitaram Kharat
Age- Major, Occ.- Business,
R/o.- Naralibaig, Aurangabad.

Versus

Defendants: - Param Developers.
behind Tandoor Hotels,
Railway station Road,
through its Partners

1.Parmeshwar Santaram Rajbinde
Age- Major, Occ.- Business,
R/o.- As Above,

2. Avatarsingh s/o Indarsingh Sodhi
Age- Major, Occ. - Business,
R/o. - Shahnoorwadi, Aurangabad.

3. Rohit s/o Vivek Despande
Age- Major, Occ.- Business,
R/o.- Plot No. 53/54, Aditya nagar,
Garkheda, Aurangabad.

****

Claim: - Suit for Perpetual Injunction restraining the defendants,their agents, servants, or anybody claiming through them from carrying out construction over the suit land adm. 10.33 R.(1046.20 sq. mtrs.) from out of Survey No.12 within following boundaries Towards

East-Remaining land of Om Co-op. Hsg. Society of survey No. 12, Towards West- 25 fits. Road, Towards South- 25 fits Road, Towards North- Land of Deshpande Puram E Aparment, from and out of Survey No.12 situated at Shahnoorwadi, Tal. and Dist.- Aurangabad, the land which is taken by the plaintiffs for development from the original owners.

AND

Suit for perpetual injunction restraining the defendants from alienating or disposing of above delineated property and from creating third party interest by any means.

****

Respected sir,

The plaintiffs most humbly submit as under,

1. **Description of the property** :-

   Land admeasuring 10.33 R (1046.20 sq. mtrs.) from out of survey No. 12 within following boundaries,

   Towards East- Remaining land of Om Co-op. Hsg. Society survey No.12

   Towards West- 25 fits. Road,

   Towards South- 25 fits. Road,

   Towards North- Deshpande Puram E Aparment,

   situated at Shahnoorwadi, Tal. and Dist.- Aurangabad.

   (The above described property will be called as a suit property henceforth)

2. That initially Om Co-operative Housing Society had purchased adm. 58.50 x 41 sq. mtrs. i.e. 2398.50 sq. mtrs. through a

registered sale deed bearing AGG-1/3970/1985 dated 26.06.1985 bounded as

East :- Usha Co-op. Housing Society (Proposed),
West :- Asha Co-op. Housing Society (Proposed),
South :- Om Co-op. Housing Society (Part-B),
North :- Dhobi Ghat.

So also the about mentioned society purchased another area adm. 41x 58 sq. mtrs. i.e. 2398 sq. mtrs. through a registered sale deed bearing No. AGG-1/3987/1985 dated 27.06.1085 from and out of survey 12 situated at Shahnoorwadi, Aurangabad, bounded as

East :- Usha Co-op. Housing Society (Proposed),
West :- Asha Co-op. Housing Society (Proposed),
South :- Saint Gadgebaba Co-op. Housing Society,
North :- Om Co-op, Housing Society.

The total land from this two sale deeds admeasuring 47 R. By way of above sale deeds the Om Co-op. Hsg. Society was put in actual physical possession of above mentioned area and was enjoining the ownership.

3. The Om Co-op. Hsg. Society, on the basis of sale deeds mentioned supra got its name mutated in revenue record i.e. 7/12 extract and mutation register. Om co-op. Hsg. Society wanted to develop the above mentioned land and for that it had entered into an agreement of development over the area mentioned above along with other area with the plaintiff on 06.06.2014. The development agreement is filed on record for kind perusal.

4. That since there was miss understanding arrived between Om co-op. Hsg. Society and plaintiff, Om Co-op. Hsg. Society had filed RCS No. 1067/2014 containing there in that plaintiffs are not performing the terms of the contract properly and also contended that plaintiffs are illegally developing the suit land and for recovery of possession of land admeasuring 25 R. A court commissioner was also appointed and accordingly on 26.04.2015 the land was measured wherein the possession of the Om CO-OP. Hsg. Society was ascertained. Thereafter the dispute was ended in compromise on 12.012.2015 and on 28.12.2015 compromise decree was passed. The copy of compromise decree is filed on record for perusal.

5. That by way of compromise decree Om Co-op Housing Society had agreed to receive reaming amount of consideration and execute registered sale deed in favour of plaintiff, however Om Co-op Housing Society was found avoiding to execute sale deed therefore, the plaintiff filed petition for execution of decree i. e. R. D. 68/2016 of 11.04.2016 which is pending for adjudication and compliance. One Manju Parsi Jilla madam appeared in said execution petition and filed an objection under Order 47 of C.P.C. contending therein that she had purchased the suit land from Suresh Parkar through registered sale deed bearing No. 6198/2001 admeasuring 11257 sq. ft i. e. 1046.20 sq. mtrs. She had also contended in said objection that she had filed complaint against present plaintiff on 18/04/2016, on the basis of which a crime bearing no.118/2016

was registered where in the present plaintiffs obtained bail and the matter is still pending for trial.

6. That on the basis of rival contentions in the above mentioned execution petition the court framed issues and accordingly said Manju Parsi Jilla filed her affidavit for examination in chief and the matter is now pending for cross examination. The present plaintiff filed an application Exh. 32 on 21/01/2021 against said Manju Parsi Jillà for grant of injunction wherein she filed her say and also filed on application Under Order 1 Rule 10 of CPC at Exh 36 requesting therein to add present defendant as party. She specifically contented in Exh-36 that she had sold the decreetal land to the present defendants on 03/01/2022 through a registered sale deed. Therefore for the first time the plaintiffs came to know that the defendants have got the sale deed executed in their favour. The executing court rejected both application i. e. Exh-32 & Exh-36 the copies of same are filed on record.

7. That on the basis of the above information the plaintiff obtained certified copies of alleged sale deed which is also filed on record for kind perusal.

8. That on the basis of the above mentioned alleged sale deed the defendants are trying to interfere and obstruct in the suit land. Not only this but the defendants have dumped their material like bricks, cement, steels, & wooden planks with an intention to carry out construction thereon which the plaintiff opposed but the defendants are very much influential having muscle and man power

with them and they have threatened the plaintiff not to obstruct in process of their construction or else the plaintiff will have to face the dire consequences. The plaintiff submits that the defendants are in no way concern with the suit land. The land in fact was initially owned and possessed by Om Co-op Housing Society. The plaintiff have already paid handsome amount to Om Co-op Housing Society. The said society is to execute registered sale deed in the name of plaintiff and that execution is also pending. The plaintiffs submit that it is a compromise decree which is not appealable and in any case by any means, the Om Co-op. Hsg. Society cannot flout or deviate or deny or avoid the execution of sale deed in favour of present plaintiffs. In such circumstances the plaintiff have strong reason and suspicion that to avoid execution of sale deed Om Co-op Housing Society seems to have made conspiracy and joined hands with the present defendants. In fact with boundaries which are mentioned in the sale deed of the Om Co-op Housing Society the defendants have no nexus of any nature with the suit property.

9. That at the cost of repetition the boundaries of the suit property purchased by Om Co-op Housing Society are reproduced as below.

A) sale deed bearing No.3970/1985 purchased admeasuring 56 mtrs x 41 mtrs i. e. 2398.50 sq. mtrs dated 26/06/1985 Bounded as -

On East: Land of Usha co-op Hsg. society (proposed)
On West: Asha co-op Hsg. Society (proposed)

mischief and with fraudulent and dishonest intention to grab or encroach upon the land of plaintiff, those boundaries are mentioned in sale deed and on the basis of the same, they have started carrying out the activities of undertaking the contraction over the suit land by dumpling and storing the material required for construction. The act of defendants is very much hazardous and detrimental to the right of the property of plaintiff. From the above mentioned illegal acts of defendants. The plaintiffs are constrained to file present suit. By the illegal acts the defendants are trying to change the nature of property for all these reasons, the defendants are required to be restrained from carrying out construction on suit property thereby granting decree of perpetual injunction.

10) The defendants have actually dumped the material required for construction over the suit land on 28/10/2022 and to restrain them and to stop their activities, plaintiffs had also filed an application at Exh-43 dated 03/11/2022, before the executing court therefore at the first instance cause of action has arisen for filing present suit on 28/10/2022 and the same is continued till today, since the activities of the defendants of carrying out further construction over the suit property are continued day to day.

11) That the relief sought by the plaintiff is of perpetual injunction for which suit is valued at Rs.1000/- for pecuniary jurisdictions and court fees of Rs. 200/- is paid herewith.

mischief and with fraudulent and dishonest intention to grab or encroach upon the land of plaintiff, those boundaries are mentioned in sale deed and on the basis of the same, they have started carrying out the activities of undertaking the contraction over the suit land by dumpling and storing the material required for construction. The act of defendants is very much hazardous and detrimental to the right of the property of plaintiff. From the above mentioned illegal acts of defendants. The plaintiffs are constrained to file present suit. By the illegal acts the defendants are trying to change the nature of property for all these reasons, the defendants are required to be restrained from carrying out construction on suit property thereby granting decree of perpetual injunction.

10) The defendants have actually dumped the material required for construction over the suit land on 28/10/2022 and to restrain them and to stop their activities, plaintiffs had also filed an application at Exh-43 dated 03/11/2022, before the executing court therefore at the first instance cause of action has arisen for filing present suit on 28/10/2022 and the same is continued till today, since the activities of the defendants of carrying out further construction over the suit property are continued day to day.

11) That the relief sought by the plaintiff is of perpetual injunction for which suit is valued at Rs.1000/- for pecuniary jurisdictions and court fees of Rs. 200/- is paid herewith.

12) That parties reside, property is situated and cause of action has arisen within territorial jurisdiction of this Hon'ble court therefore the court is empowered to try and decide the suit.

IT IS THEREFORE PRAYED HUMBLY

1) That the suit of plaintiff be decreed with cost.

2) The defendants their agents, servants or anybody, claiming through them be perpetually restrained from carrying out construction over the suit land admeasuring 10.33 R (1046.20 sq. mtrs) bounded as

On East :- remaining land of Om Co-op Hsg. Scty from No. 12,

On West :- 7.5 mtrs. road,

On south :- 9 mtrs. Road,

On North :- Deshpande Puram E apartment, from and out of Survey No.12, situated at Shahnoorwadi, Aurangabad (Suit Land).

3) The decree of perpetual injunction be passed against the defendants restraining them from creating thirty party interest and changing the nature of suit property by any means.

4) Any other equitable relief to which the plaintiff is found entitled be granted in the interest of justice and oblige.

Date: 25/03/2023

Place: Aurangabad

Submitted By

S. K. Borkar, Adv.

PLAINTIFFS

Akruti Consultancy Services
Through its partners

1. Avinash s/o Ganpatrao Solapure

2. Pravin s/o Bapurao Mhaske

3. Rahul s/o Sitaram Kharat

VERIFICATION

I, Pravin s/o Bapurao Mhaske, Age- Major, Occ. - Agril and Business, R/o. - Rama nagar, Aurangabad, do hereby state on oath as under, that the contents of this suit are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Hence it is verified on .03.2023 at Aurangabad.

Deponent

Pravin s/o Bapurao Mhaske

Back

Daily Status

IN THE COURT OF :IX Jt.Civil Judge J.D. Aurangabad.

Case No. :R.C.S./0000261/2023

Akruti Consutancy Services **Vs** Param Developers

Date : 03-04-2023

Presence	: Borkar S.K.,
Business	: Heard, Issue suit summons to the defendants. Order passed below EXh. 5 issue show cause notice to non applicants/ defendants as to why injunction prayed should not be granted on P. F. E.P. and S.B allowed on P.F and on necessary charges as per rules.For R/notice summons on 10.04.2023.
Next Purpose	: Appearance
Next Hearing Date	: 05-04-2023

IX Jt.Civil Judge J.D. Aurangabad.

ORDER BELOW EXH.01 IN RCS NO.261/2023

The plaintiff and the advocate are absent when called. No application on record. No evidence adduced. Hence, the suit is kept for dismissal order.

Digitally signed by ANIKET MUSALE
Date: 2025.01.17 17:39:05 +0530

(A. V. Musale)

Date: 17.01.2025.

CIVIL COURT (Senior Division) AURANGABAD

11th Jt.CJJD, Aurangabad.

E-file case

MHAU020021322023

CIVIL COURT (Senior Division) * AURANGABAD *

RCS No. 261/2023
Akruti V/s Param

ORDER BELOW EXH.1 & 5

1. The present application is filed under order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 of Code of Civil Procedure 1908. Learned counsel for plaintiff prayed for ex-parte ad-interim injunction. Perused application, documents on record. Heard.

2. I have perused the documents. The suit is filed for Perpetual Injunction. It is the contention of plaintiff that the defendants are trying to construct over the suit property. It is the contention of plaintiff that he is owner in possession of the said plot. However the defendant is trying to dispossess him and therefore he prayed ad-interim Ex-parte injunction. (The court website is slow and this court can not see the application and documents attached with this case. The documents are seen from the counsel for the plaintiff.) Considering facts and circumstances of the case, there is no such urgency so as to dispense with the mandatory requirement of issuance of notice to opposite parties. The opposite parties are required to be given an opportunity to be heard before passing or granting any relief. Hon'ble Apex Court in **Ramrameshwari Devi & others Vs. Nirmala Devi and others** held that, short notice be issued to the defendant and only after hearing parties appropriate order should have been passed. There is no such urgency so as to dispense with the mandatory requirement of issuance of notice to opposite parties. Hence, considering the account of matter I pass following order :-

ORDER

1] Issue suit summons to the defendant for statement of issue and for filing their written statement.

E-file case

2] Issue show cause notices to the defendant as to why injunction prayed should not be granted returnable on 10.04.2023.

3] E.P. and S. B is allowed if requested and on payment of necessary charges as per law.

4] Concern to comply accordingly.

SUMIT SURESHCHANDRA CHHALLANI
Digitally signed by SUMIT SURESHCHANDRA CHHALLANI
Date: 2023.04.03 17:47:04 +0530

(S.S.CHHALLANI)
09th Jt. Civil Judge J. D.
Aurangabad.

Date:-03/04/2023

Senior Div

MHAU020035792018

ORDER BELOW EXH.1 IN R.C.S. NO.261/2023

Akruti Consultansy Services Vs. Param Developers

The present suit was instituted for permanent injunction. Both the parties appeared before the court and filed the compromise terms stating that, the dispute is settled between the parties and the plaintiffs do not intend to proceed with the suit. They also filed the compromise terms on record. Thereby, it is prayed, compromise decree be passed. I read over the contents of the terms, to both the parties. They admitted them to be correct. Hence, no purpose shall suffice by keeping the matter pending. Therefore, I pass the following order:

ORDER

1. This suit (RCS No.261/2023) is disposed as compromised and withdrawn.
2. The compromise terms shall form part and parcel of the decree.
3. The compromise decree be prepared accordingly.
4. The court fee be refunded to the plaintiff as per rule.

Digitally signed by ANIKET MUSALE
Date: 2025.10.13 13:55:35 +0530

(A. V. Musale)
6th Jt. C.J.J.D. Aurangabad.

Dated :13.10.2025.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. file order is same, word to word, as per the original order.	
Name of the Stenographer :	Varsha Ajit Andurkar
Court	J.M.F.C. Court No.06, Aurangabad
Date	13.10.2025
Order signed by the presiding officer on	13.10.2025
Order uploaded on	13.10.2025

CIVIL COURT (Senior Division) * AURANGABAD *

True Copy

Assistant Superintendent
Civil Court (S.D.)
Aurangabad

28/10/25

Compared by

Jr.Clerk

28-10-25

STAMP ON APPLICATION RS. 5 // 10

COPY OF APPLICATION No. 2148 2025

15-10-25 The date on which the copy was applied
Two thousand Twenty Five

The date on which the application completed 15-10-25
Two thousand Twenty Five

28 OCT 2025 The date (When the date once given is subsequently charged, the last of such change dates) given to the Application for taking delivery of the copy
Two thousand Twenty Five

The date which the copy was ready for delivery
Two thousand Twenty Five

28 OCT 2025

Senior Clerk.
Civil Court S.D. Aurangabad. 28 OCT 2025

The date on which the copy was delivered.
Two thousand Twenty Five

28 OCT 2025

Senior Clerk.
Civil Court S.D. Aurangabad. 28 OCT 2025

Issue certified copy by C.J.S.D. Court Aurangabad.

On Exhibit No. 36 in RCS No. 261/2023

आकृती कन्सलटंन्सी v/s परम डेव्हल पर्स Pages 04

IL COURT (Senior Divis

मा. दिवाणी न्यायाधीश कनिष्ठ स्तर औरंगाबाद यांचे न्यायालयात.
दिवाणी दावा क्रमांक - २६१/२०२३

Exh 36

आकृती कन्सलटंन्सी सर्व्हीसेस ... वादी

विरुध्द

परम डेव्हलपर्स

विषय : कायम मनाई हुकुम

तडजोडपत्र

१. प्रस्तुत प्रकरणी वादी, ओम सोसायटी व परम डेव्हलपर्स यांच्यामध्ये तडजोड झालेली आहे ती खालील प्रमाणे

२. आकृती कन्सलटंसी यांना हे मान्य आहे की, प्रस्तुत प्रकरणातील परम डेव्हलपर्स यांच्या ताब्यात असलेली मालमत्ता ज्याचे वर्णन दाव्यात केलेले आहे, ती संपुर्णत: परम डेव्हलपर्स यांच्या मालकीची व ताब्यात आहे. सदरील मालमत्तेवर परम डेव्हलपर्स यांनी कायदेशीररित्या २८ फ्लॅट्स बांधलेले आहेत.

३. सदरील मालमत्तेवर ओम सोसायटी किंवा आकृती कन्सलटंसी व त्यांचे भागिदार यांचा वरील मालमत्तेशी म्हणजेच परम डेव्हलपर्स यांच्या ताब्यात असलेली मालमत्ता कसी एक संबंध नाही. भविष्यात देखील या मालमत्तेवर परम डेव्हलपर्स यांच्या ताब्यात

असलेल्या मालमत्तेवर ओम सोसायटी किंवा त्यांचे सदस्य व त्यांच्यातर्फे कोणीही व आकृती कन्सल्टंसी त्यांचे भागीदार व त्यांच्यातर्फे कोणीही वादातील मालमत्तेवर हक्क सांगणार नाही. हे ओम सोसायटी व आकृती कन्सल्टंसी यांना मान्य आहे की, वादातील मालमत्ता परम डेव्हलपर्स यांना विकणारे डॉ. मंजु परसी जिल्ला यांनी सुरेश महादेव पारकर यांचेकडून रितसर खरेदी केली होती.

आता वादी, प्रतिवादी व ओम सोसायटी यामधील सर्व मतभेद मिटलेले आहेत व यांना वादातील मालमत्ता जी परम डेव्हलपर्स यांच्या ताब्यात आहेत व त्यांनी ती डॉ. मंजु परसी जिल्ला यांच्याकडुन घेतली आहे त्याबद्दल कोणताच वाद नाही व भविष्यात वाद करणार नाही. गैरसमजामधुन दावा दाखल केला होता.

५. या तडजोडीनुसार डॉ. मंजु परसी जिल्ला यांनी रेग्युलर दरखास्त क्र. ६८/२०१६ मध्ये घेतलेले आक्षेप अर्ज परत घ्यावा व त्यासाठी या प्रकरणातील प्रतिवादी परम डेव्हलपर्स मार्फत त्यांचे भागीदार यांनी स्वत: मंजु परसी जिल्ला यांना आक्षेप अर्ज परत घेण्यासाठी विनंती करुन त्यातुन आक्षेप अर्ज परत घेण्याची प्रक्रिया करायला लावावी. कारण या प्रकरणात वादी यांची मंजु परसी जिल्ला यांची च्या जागेतील ११२५० स्वे.

फुट करील मालकी मान्य केलेली आहे. केवळ ओम सोसायटीने भविष्यात हा मुद्दा उपस्थित करु नये म्हणून सदरील खबरदारी घेणे आवश्यक आहे.

६. तरी नम्र विनंती की, प्रस्तुत तडजोडीनुसार दावा निकाली काढण्यात यावा.

आकृती कन्सलटंसी सर्व्हीसेस मार्फत भागीदार

१. अविनाश गणपतराव सोलापुरे

२. प्रविण बापुराव म्हस्के

३. राहुल सिताराम खरात

मार्फत
ॲड. सतिश बोरकर

प्रतिवादी

परम डेव्हलपर्स मार्फत

१. परमेश्वर संतराम राजभिंडे

२. अवतारसिंग इंदरसिंग सोधी

३. रोहित विवेक देशपांडे

मार्फत
ॲड प्रदिप सोनपेठकर

//पडताळणी//

आम्ही आकृती कन्सलटंसी सर्व्हीसेस मार्फत भागीदार १ अविनाश गणपतराव सोलापुरे २. प्रविण बापुराव म्हस्के ३. राहुल सिताराम खरात सर्व राहणार छत्रपती संभाजीनगर व प्रतिवादी परम डेव्हलपर्स मार्फत १. परमेश्वर संतराम राजबिंडे २. अवतारसिंग इंदरसिंग सोधी ३. रोहित विवेक देशपांडे सर्व राहणार छत्रपती संभाजीनगर शपथेवर निवेदन करतो की, वरील तडजोड आमच्या सांगण्यानुसार तयार व टाईप करण्यात आली. आम्ही सर्व वाचुन पाहिले, त्यातील संपूर्ण मजकुर खरा व बरोबर आहे तरी आम्ही समजुन उमजुन प्रस्तुत तडजोड पत्रावर सह्या केल्या आहेत.

आकृती कन्सलटंसी सर्व्हीसेस मार्फत भागीदार

१. अविनाश गणपतराव सोलापुरे

२. प्रविण बापुराव म्हस्के

३. राहुल सिताराम खरात

मार्फत
ॲड. सतिश बोरकर

प्रतिवादी

परम डेव्हलपर्स मार्फत

१. परमेश्वर संतराम राजबिंडे

२. अवतारसिंग इंदरसिंग सोधी

३. रोहित विवेक देशपांडे

मार्फत
ॲड प्रदिप सोनपेठकर

CIVIL COURT (Senior Division) AURANGABAD

Compared by
28-10-25
Jr.Clerk

True Copy
Assistant Superintendent
Civil Court (S.D.)
Aurangabad