



Shahaji Nanasaheb Landge
Sandhya S. Landge (Ghogare)

B Sc LL B

B ALL B

Advocates, High Court

Ref

To,
Unitech Construction,
Silk Mill Colony,
Behind Railway Station,
MIDC Road, Aurangabad

Date

Sub. : Search Report of C.T.S.No.4156 adm.
84.10 Sq.M. & CTS No.4156/2 adm. 102.1
Sq. M. Sheet No. 41 & 42 total adm. 186.2
Sq. m. situated at Barudnagar Nala,
Aurangabad.

SEARCH REPORT

Under the instructions and authority given by my client Unitech Constructions, Aurangabad, I have made the search of last 30 years of the property mentioned under :

1) **Description of the Property :**

The property C.T.S.No.4156 adm. 84.10 Sq.M. & CTS No.4156/2 adm. 102.1 Sq. M. Sheet No. 41 & 42 total adm. 186.2 Sq. m. situated at Barudnagar Nala, Aurangabad and bounded as under :

East : Ambadas Lokhande
West : Shabbir Haider Khan
South : Eqbal Pathan
North : Road

2) **Description of Documents:**

Sr. No.	Particulars of Documents	Date
01	Copy of Plaint R.C.S. No. 223/99	08/03/1999



Ref.:

		Date
02.	Copy of W.S. in R.C.S. No. 223/99	
03.	Copy of Compromise petition in R.C.S. No. 223/99	22/09/1999 17/08/2001
04.	Copy of decree in R.C.S. No. 223/99	
05.	Copy of order on Compromise petition	17/08/2001
06.	Copy issued by Nagar Bhumpan Adhikari, Aurangabad	17/08/2001 17/07/2010
07.	Copy of P.R. Card of C.T.S. No. No.4156	22/08/2016
08.	Copy of P.R. card of C.T.S. No.4156/2	22/08/2016

That I went to the Office of Sub Registrar, City Survey Office and Land Record Office and J.M.F.C. Court and District Court, Aurangabad and inspected the documents referred above and I found that, there was R.C.S. No.223/1999 was filed by Rasheed Khan Latif Khan and others wherein Mannan Khan Habeeb Khan and others were defendants. The claim in the suit was as follow:

Suit for declaration that the mutation entry No.925 dated 3/2/1999 is taken by the City Survey Officer No.1, Aurangabad S.L.R. in respect of premises i.e. Sheet No.41, 42 City Survey No. 4156 "A" admeasuring No.1 and 2 to the extent of areas 214.43 sq. mtrs and of defendant No.3 to 5 to the extent of 214.43 and of plaintiff 35.04 sq. mtrs. is not binding on the

2 to 6